Optical and Microwave Metrology at the 10^{-18} Level with an Er/Yb:glass Frequency Comb

Nicholas V. Nardelli,* Holly Leopardi, Thomas R. Schibli, and Tara M. Fortier*

Optical frequency combs are essential tools for precision metrology experiments ranging in application from remote spectroscopic sensing of trace gases to the characterization and comparison of optical atomic clocks for precision time-keeping and searches for physics beyond the standard model. Presented here is a description of the architecture and a full characterization of a telecom-band, self-modelocking frequency comb based on a free-space laser with an Er/Yb co-doped glass gain medium. The laser provides a robust and cost-effective alternative to Er:fibre laser based frequency combs, while offering stability and noise performance similar to Ti:sapphire laser systems. Finally, the utility of the Er/Yb:glass frequency comb is demonstrated in high-stability frequency synthesis using two ultra-stable optical references at 1157 nm and 1070 nm and in low-noise photonic microwave generation by dividing these references to the microwave domain.

1. Introduction

Just a few years after the first demonstration of the laser in 1960,^[1] 1963 brought the very first modelocked laser,^[2] which used an intra-cavity acousto-optic modulator to dynamically affect the cavity loss. The result was a highly regular train of pulses, which was the seed for numerous technologies, such as laser communication enabling trans-oceanic fibre optics and the modern internet. Pulse formation via shared phase coherence of laser spectral modes, or modelocking, vielded shorter pulses and higher pulse energies, permitting researchers new tools for both applied and fundamental research. High peak powers benefited applications such as soft-tissue ablation used in laser eye surgery,^[3] inertial confinement nuclear fusion,^[4] which offers an alternative to magnetic confinement fusion, and twophoton absorption spectroscopy,^[5] which relies on highly efficient nonlinear interactions facilitated by the high optical intensity seen in pulses, just to name a few. Ultra-short pulses, with

N. V. Nardelli, H. Leopardi, T. R. Schibli Department of Physics University of Colorado Boulder 440 UCB, Boulder, CO 80309, USA E-mail: nicholas.nardelli@nist.gov N. V. Nardelli, H. Leopardi, T. M. Fortier Time and Frequency Division National Institute of Standards and Technology 325 Broadway, Boulder, CO 80305, USA E-mail: tara.fortier@nist.gov

The ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under https://doi.org/10.1002/lpor.202200650

DOI: 10.1002/lpor.202200650

durations of femtoseconds or attoseconds, made possible ultra-precise measurements of time and distance, and are increasingly utilized in time-resolved measurements such as pump-probe experiments^[6] that yield information about previously inaccessible ultra-fast processes. In fact, the modelocked laser is responsible for the shortest humanmade event at 43 as.^[7]

Optical frequency combs (OFCs) based on modelocked lasers take aadvantage of the extreme precision with which the optical field can be controlled, and the nonlinear wavelength conversion made possible with highly energetic optical pulses, to enable high precision synthesis and control of the modelocked laser spectrum. Due to strong nonlinear optical

interactions within a laser cavity,^[8–10] frequency modes are forced to oscillate with a common phase and at rigid frequency intervals dictated by the length of the cavity. This phase-coherence creates a fixed frequency and phase relationship between all resonant optical frequency modes that extends to microwave signals generated via photodetection of the laser pulse train. This concept of optical-to-microwave synthesis makes possible the derivation of microwave timing signals from optical atomic clocks and the characterization of optical clocks against the current definition of the SI second, based on a microwave transition in the ¹³³Cs atom.^[11] Additionally, ultra-low phase noise microwave signals have been demonstrated that take advantage of the coherent division of OFCs to divide the frequency and noise of narrowlinewidth cavity-stabilized optical references used to probe optical clock transitions.^[12-18] These room-temperature systems surpass the best cryogenic microwave systems and may enhance some applications such as low-noise Doppler radar by improving sensitivity to low-velocity and low-cross section objects.[16,19,20]

Because the optical spectrum of OFCs can span up to and beyond an optical octave of bandwidth, the optical spectrum of the modelocked laser is a coherent source of hundreds of thousands to millions of equally spaced optical modes. The latter characteristic can enable the comparison of optical atomic clocks whose transition frequencies span hundreds of terahertz, and with resolutions beyond the current limit imposed by the definition of the Hertz.

The optical-to-optical synthesis of high-accuracy and highstability optical frequencies derived from atomic clocks via OFCs has helped to support a number of scientific and technological applications, including searches for Bosonic dark matter that are conducted by comparing optical clocks of different species.^[21,22] Additionally, optically derived microwave signals could be used for the synchronization of radio telescopes in very long-baseline interferometry (VLBI),^[23] which is used to image cosmic radio sources. A high level of synchronization also benefits next-generation communication and navigation networks, such as GPS, enabling faster acquisition of location data with higher accuracy.

Optical frequency combs based on the Ti:Sapphire laser were used to realize the first OFCs^[19] and have been used in some of the highest performance metrology experiments with atomic clocks.^[24,25] However, the laser is prone to loss of modelocking as a result of high sensitivity to the ambient temperature drifts and cavity misalignment. It also requires a high-power, expensive visible pump laser (usually 532 nm) to excite emission in the gain bandwidth of the laser crystal. To address the latter drawback in robustness, the Er:fibre OFC^[26] was developed as a more accessible system operating in the telecom band. OFCs based on Er:fibre lasers require almost no alignment after constituent fibres are spliced together, and employ off-the-shelf 980 nm pump diodes that have benefited from decades of development by the telecommunication industry. High loss in Er:fibre lasers, coupled with pump lasers with relatively high amplitude noise, results in resonant optical linewidths of 100 kHz to MHz. Also, due to the lengths of fibre that are required for intra-cavity gain and modelocking, fibre lasers generally do not support repetition frequencies higher than a few hundred MHz. For optical clock comparisons, this decreases the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the optical beat between CW laser and OFC and makes phase-locking and detection more difficult. For low-noise microwave generation, lower repetition rates are associated with higher nonlinearity in photodetection.

In this work, we describe a more recently developed OFC based on a 500 MHz free-space laser with an Er/Yb co-doped phosphate glass gain medium^[27] that is designed to address the issues mentioned above. Due to the choice of gain medium, with an emission bandwidth near 1550 nm, the laser benefits from the same 980 nm pump diodes used in the Er:fibre laser. Modelocking is achieved via a semiconductor saturable absorber mirror (SESAM),^[10,28] which permits robust self-starting and pulsed operation without precise cavity alignment. The laser also exhibits low intrinsic noise due, in part, to the low intra-cavity loss and the co-doping of Er and Yb that suppresses high-frequency pump intensity noise. We demonstrate the utility of this OFC in highprecision optical and microwave synthesis, which benefits nextgeneration optical atomic clock comparisons.

2. Er/Yb:Glass OFC Description and Characterization

In this section, we describe and characterize an Er/Yb:glass frequency comb, including the details of the modelocked laser oscillator, the amplification and supercontinuum generation, and the stabilization of the carrier-envelope offset frequency and the repetition frequency to an optical reference. Modelocked lasers based on Er/Yb:glass have been previously demonstrated with a repetition frequency of 1 GHz or less,^[29–31] however, this laser has also been demonstrated with 10 GHz^[32] and 100 GHz^[33] repetition frequencies. Additionally, the SESAM and Er/Yb:glass platform was demonstrated as a 1 GHz monolithic laser in bulk CaF₂ rather than free-space.^[34]

2.1. Laser Oscillator

2.1.1. Er/Yb-Doped Phosphate Glass

An Erbium-doped gain medium constitutes a three-level laser structure whereby 980 nm radiation drives electrons from the ground state (${}^{4}I_{15/2}$) to the excited state (${}^{4}I_{11/2}$). Energy is dissipated non-radiatively via a long-lived laser state (${}^{4}I_{13/2}$) from where electrons are stimulated back to the ground state via 1.55 µm photons. Direct pumping of Er³⁺ exhibits low efficiency because of its weak absorption bands. Co-doping with Yb³⁺ ions facilitates electron transfer and increased pumping efficiency of the gain medium. This is because Yb exhibits a very intense absorption band near 980 nm^[35] and the Yb³⁺ excited state (${}^{4}F_{5/2}$) is resonant with the ${}^{4}I_{11/2}$ excited state in Er³⁺.

Despite the low thermal damage threshold of intense optical pumping, phosphate glass serves as a better gain material for 1.55 μ m lasers compared to other glasses and crystals. This is due to the presence of higher phonon energies that shorten the ${}^{4}I_{11/2}Er^{3+}$ lifetime to 2-3 μ s, thus reducing the backwards transfer of energy from Er^{3+} to Yb³⁺ and increasing pumping efficiency.^[35]

2.1.2. Cavity Geometry

The Er/Yb:glass optical frequency comb employs a linear freespace laser cavity with a free spectral range of $f_{\text{FSR}} = f_{\text{rep}} \approx 500$ MHz (600 cm total optical path length), pictured in Figure 1a. An advantage of the linear cavity design is that it permits tuning of the repetition frequency, via translation of the laser output coupler, by $> \pm 4$ % (from 480 to 520 MHz) with minimal cavity misalignment. The laser glass medium is phosphate glass codoped with Er^{3+} and Yb^{3+} (QX/Er), ≈ 2 mm in length, with doping concentrations 0.8 wt% Er³⁺ and 21 wt% Yb³⁺, and an upper state lifetime of 7.9 ms. The relatively long upper state lifetime permits continuous pumping due to the large energy capacity of the gain medium, with negligible laser dynamics as no single pulse has enough energy to deplete the stored energy. We achieve a modelocked output power around 65 mW using a 0.65% output coupler centered at 1550 nm and a single 980 nm laser diode providing 450 mW of pump power. This supports transform limited optical pulses of 180 fs with pulse optical bandwidths of ≈ 14 nm. Due to the short laser crystal, the cavity mirrors need not be chirped as the total cavity dispersion remains sufficiently low to support stable modelocking. Unfortunately, due to the low heathandling capacity of phosphate glass, pumping the laser at powers higher than 600 mW introduces the risk of thermal fractures and cavity misalignment induced via thermal lensing and stressinduced birefringence. A detailed procedure for cavity alignment and modelocking can be seen in the supplementary information.

We choose the cavity geometry to be asymmetric so that it yields two focuses (beam waist $\approx 35 \ \mu$ m): one inside of the glass gain medium to support sufficient population inversion and non-linearity, and a second at the surface of a SESAM, for passive modelocking. Figure 2 depicts how the beam waist varies over

www.advancedsciencenews.com

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS

www.lpr-journal.org



Figure 1. Er/Yb:glass frequency comb experimental setup. a) The modelocked laser cavity architecture and geometry. b) External optical pulse amplification and supercontinuum generation using a PM-EDFA and PM-HNLF. c) Optical interferometers for referencing of the modelocked optical spectrum to optical references at 1157 and 1070 nm. A single optical reference is used for stabilization of the OFC repetition rate. The offset frequency f_0 is detected using a nonlinear f - 2f interferometer. The detected optical beats are counted using zero-deadtime SDR counters. d) Low-noise optical-to-microwave generation. An interleaver to multiply f_{rep} from 500 MHz to 2 GHz and an MUTC photodiode to convert the optical pulse train to the microwave domain for detection of the pulse repetition rate.



Figure 2. Beam waist versus position in the cavity. The sagittal beam axis is shown in blue and tangential beam axis in orange. Laser mirror, Er/Yb:glass gain medium and SESAM positions are indicated by dashed black lines.

the cavity length, for both tangential and saggital dimensions, generated with an ABCD matrix calculation.^[36] As seen in Figure 2, the 1550 nm output is a collimated beam with $1/e^2$ diameter around 0.6 mm. As a consequence of the cavity asym-

metry, the Er/Yb:glass is off-centre from the two curved mirrors. The single-mode 980 nm light is focused into the laser cavity via curved mirror M2 using a 7.5 cm focal length lens. Each curved mirror has a 1550 nm reflectivity > 99.9%, a 980 nm transmission > 98% and a radius of curvature, r = 50 mm. Importantly, the mirrors need not be chirped as the total cavity dispersion remains very low.

A 6° angle between the curved mirrors and the optical axis helps counteract astigmatism introduced by the Er/Yb:glass, which is held at Brewster's angle ($\approx 57^\circ$ with respect to the optical axis) to minimize light loss due to Fresnel reflections off the glass surfaces. Astigmatism expands the mode size in the tangential plane (parallel to the page) while preserving the mode size in the sagittal plane (perpendicular to the page).^[37] The latter is important for preserving cavity stability along both beam axes. While not employed here, coma aberration may also be compensated by arranging the cavity so that it has a *Z* geometry instead of an *X* geometry.^[38]





Figure 3. Modelocked laser output power (thin trace, left axis) and pulse bandwidth (thick trace, right axis) versus 980 nm pump power.

2.1.3. Modelocking Characterization

For self-starting modelocking we employ a SESAM designed at the University of Colorado Boulder and grown at NIST that is composed of a single Er-doped, low-temperature-grown InGaAs quantum well deposited on top of a Bragg stack with alternating GaAs and AlAs layers, similar to the device reported by Lee et al.^[39] Defects are intentionally introduced by growing the In-GaAs at low temperature (475 °C), allowing for ultrafast electron– hole recombination, which can facilitate the formation of intracavity femtosecond pulses.^[40,41] Slower dynamics between the conduction and valance bands (several picoseconds) allow intensity perturbations to grow for optical pulses as they make multiple passes through the laser cavity, leading to self-starting pulsed operation.

The SESAM has greater than 99% reflectivity for fluences greater than 100 μ J cm⁻², which corresponds to about 4 W of optical power circulating in the laser cavity. Typically, we operate the laser at about 10 W of circulating power and a fluence of about 300 μ J cm⁻² on the SESAM. Nonsaturable loss accounts for the lost power, which is <1%. We found that SESAMs with higher nonsaturable loss (>3%) did not support stable pulse formation with this cavity geometry due to the limited gain possible with the 2 mm Er/Yb:glass.

We achieved stable pulse formation using 0.65% output coupling with as little as 250 mW of 980 nm pump power and an optical efficiency near 15%, decreasing at higher pump power (see **Figure 3**). The efficiency can be increased to 35% using an output coupler with 1.5% transmission, but at the sacrifice of modelocked optical bandwidth. This latter effect is due to the increased Er³⁺ population inversion due to higher output coupling losses, which leads to a smaller gain bandwidth. Er/Yb:glass gain bandwidth is maximized for population inversions around 70% to 80%.^[31] From Figure 3 we also observe that the laser can maintain stable modelocked operation for pump powers ranging from 250 to 550 mW, necessary for long-range control and stabilization of the carrier-envelope offset frequency (see Section 2.3).

2.2. Amplification and Supercontinuum Generation

The 500 MHz pulse train generated by the modelocked laser is coupled into polarization-maintaining (PM) fibre and ampli-



Figure 4. a) Optical pulse spectrum as measured at different points in the OFC experimental setup: at the output of the modelocked laser (blue), and after amplification with the PM EDFA (green), after broadening with HNLF (black). Dashed lines indicate the frequencies of the optical references at 1157 nm (orange), 1070 nm (red), and 1542 nm (purple) and the *f* and 2*f* frequencies used for carrier-envelope offset detection (black). In the bottom, b) RF spectra of the heterodyne beat signals between the comb and optical references and c) of the f - 2f interferometer, c). Spectra are measured with a resolution bandwidth of 300 kHz.

fied in a home-built PM Erbium-doped fibre amplifier (EDFA). The higher repetition rate of the Er/Yb:glass laser requires amplification to 400 mW to achieve the pulse energies required (\approx 0.8 nJ) for supercontinuum generation in highly nonlinear fibre (HNLF). As a result, amplification requires three 980 nm pump diodes with a total power of about 2.5 W to pump a 6 cm length of Erbium-doped fibre, shown in Figure 1b).

The amplified pulses then traverse ≈ 1 m of hybrid HNLF,^[42] which spreads the ≈ 14 nm input pulse across an optical octave of bandwidth from 1000 to 2200 nm. The HNLF consists of an 11 cm length of HNLF (4.7 ps km⁻¹ nm⁻¹ dispersion] followed by a 50 cm length of HNLF (2 ps km⁻¹ nm⁻¹ dispersion). The high-dispersion short length of fibre serves to generate a broad dispersive wave that contains frequencies from about 1000 to 1200 nm, which covers the wavelengths of NIST's two optical atomic clock lasers at 1070 and 1157 nm^[43,44] and a region around 1035 nm. The low-dispersion longer length of fibre serves to spread optical power to longer wavelengths to access the region around 2070 nm. Light from the HNLF at 1035 and 2070 nm is used for self-referenced detection of the carrier-envelope offset frequency.^[45–47]

Figure 4a depicts the optical spectrum, with dotted lines indicating both the clock frequencies and the f–2f frequencies. A line at 1542 nm is included, which indicates the frequency of the ⁸⁷Sr lattice clock's cryogenic silicon cavity stabilized laser at JILA.^[48] This supercontinuum spectrum can be shaped and tuned to a small degree by controlling the power and dispersion ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS www.advancedsciencenews.com

of the pulses launched into the HNLF. Higher pulse energies push optical power out to the f and 2f frequencies at the expense of power at the 1157 nm clock laser frequency. We are able to further shape the spectrum by adding short lengths of fibre between the EDFA and the HNLF to pre-chirp and temporally compress pulses as they traverse the HNLF. The latter enables a longer interaction length and more efficient spectral broadening.

The optical heterodyne beats between comb and optical clock light and the carrier-envelope offset frequency are shown in Figure 4b,c, respectively. Typically, an SNR of greater than 30 dB in a 300 kHz bandwidth ensures that a beat signal is adequately counted and stabilized with minimal cycle/phase slips. Our single-branch optical amplifier and HNLF architecture is sufficient to meet the latter criteria. However, one can potentially improve SNR by shaping the optical spectrum using a nonlinear pulse propagation solver to optimize the HNLF length, or by directly engineering the HNLF dispersion with patterned Bragg gratings,^[49] or engineered nonlinear waveguides to enhance specific regions of the spectrum.^[50]

Additionally, amplitude noise is important to consider given the potential impact of amplitude-to-phase conversion in nonlinear optical and electronic components. For instance, when using a frequency comb to synthesize low-noise microwave signals, nonlinearity in the photodetector converts amplitude noise on the optical pulse train to phase noise on the detected microwave carriers.^[15,51]

Figure 5a depicts the suppression of relative intensity noise (RIN) at different points in the optical frequency comb, from the 980 nm pump, to the modelocked laser and to the output of the HNLF. As seen in Figure 5a, RIN on the 1550 nm pulse train output from the laser cavity appears to be suppressed compared to the RIN of the 980 nm pump laser. As the 980 nm pump power is increased, the modelocked RIN decreases due to gain saturation in the Er/Yb:glass gain medium. Saturation occurs as the pulse bandwidth increases because it can exceed the bandwidth of the SESAM, which leads to a levelling off and eventual decrease in intra-cavity power. The laser cavity RIN is further suppressed by operating the EDFA in saturation, which clamps the output power. From Figure 5b it is clear that RIN is not equal among the different frequency bands of interest, with the 1157 nm band displaying much more intensity noise than the other bands. This is likely due to the fast roll-off in wavelength-dependent intensity around 1157 nm as seen in Figure 4a. Also seen in these plots is a large feature around 10 MHz, which is due to the transimpedance amplifier of the photodetector circuit.

The Er/Yb co-doping renders this laser relatively insensitive to pump laser intensity noise since the Yb ions primarily absorb the pump photons and the Er ions primarily emit photons.^[52] Three-level lasers, such as the Er:fibre laser, are directly pumped and so are more sensitive to pump intensity noise. The roll-off around 1 kHz is a result of the Yb ion upper-state lifetime and energy transfer rate to Er ions, which acts as a low-pass filter for intensity noise.^[53]

2.3. OFC Phase Stabilization

Pulse formation in the modelocked laser forces all resonant longitudinal cavity modes to share the same phase. This shared



www.lpr-journal.org

Figure 5. a) Relative intensity noise as measured at different points in the OFC experimental setup: the 980 nm pump laser (blue), the modelocked laser output (orange), the pulse train at the output of the HNLF, filtered around 1550 nm (green). b) Relative intensity noise measured at the output of the HNLF, filtered around 1157, 1550, and 1070 nm. The measurement noise floor in (a,b) is shown in black.

Frequency (Hz)

phase coherence allows the optical modes to be connected to one another via a simple and fixed frequency relationship, known as the comb equation. As a result, the *N*th mode of the comb is defined by the mode number and the pulse repetition frequency and the laser offset frequency, such that

 $\nu_N = N f_{\rm rep} + f_0 \tag{1}$

Note that we use the symbols f and v to denote RF and optical frequencies, respectively. The latter equation has a multitude of important consequences regarding OFC operation and measurement capabilities.^[24] The definition of OFC optical modes with RF frequencies yields a direct optical-to-microwave frequency link, which permits SI traceability of all OFC optical modes, multiplication of microwave frequencies to the optical domain, and division of optical frequencies to the microwave domain. Additionally, shared phase coherence across the OFC optical modes also permits the comparison of optical references separated by more than 100 THz in frequency. The latter is possible since the regularly spaced optical modes of the comb can be used to measure the relative frequency differences, as well as the relative phase deviations between multiple optical references. Stabilization of the modelocked laser is achieved by detecting and then phase-locking f_0 and v_N to high stability frequency references.



2.3.1. Repetition Rate Detection and Stabilization

The *M*th optical mode in the modelocked laser spectrum is stabilized to an optical reference by detecting and controlling the difference frequency (i.e., beat frequency), $f_{\rm b,1157} = v_{1157} - (Mf_{\rm rep} + f_0) < 500$ MHz, between the nearest neighbor comb mode, *M*, and a cavity-stabilized laser at 1157 nm, v_{1157} . The 1157 nm laser serves as the local oscillator to the ¹⁷¹Yb optical lattice clock via doubling to the clock transition frequency near 578 nm.

As shown in Figure 1c, light output from the HNLF is split across various wavelength ranges and sent to optical interferometers. To minimize noise due to uncommon fibre-optic paths, light from the HNLF is coupled to free space. To a lower extent than fibre paths, uncompensated free-space paths contribute added instability to the detected heterodyne beat signals between the comb and optical references as a result of refractive index changes due to air currents, as well as from length changes due to expansion of the optical breadboard. To mitigate the effects of the additive instability, comb light that is transmitted through the dichroic mirror is interfered on a single beam splitter with the combined light from two ultra-stable optical references at 1157 and 1070 nm. In this configuration, the total uncompensated free-space path length is 20 cm.

Optical filters are used in the detection of all optical beat signals to reduce the light noise in photodetection from noncontributing optical comb modes. The 1157 nm beat signal is filtered and amplified before being mixed with a 100 MHz synthesized frequency derived from a H-Maser. The filtered error signal is fed back to a piezo-electric actuated mirror to compensate frequency deviations and phase noise due to instabilities in laser cavity length. To maximize the actuator bandwidth, a miniature piezo stack is affixed to a lead-filled copper mount, whose asymmetric geometry is chosen to damp acoustic resonances.^[54] Due to the light-weight SESAM ($\approx 3 \text{ mm} \times 3 \text{ mm} \times 1 \text{ mm}$), and sturdy PZT mounting structure, we observe a closed loop $f_{b.1157}$ servo bandwidth of >150 kHz. Referencing of the optical beat signal to a H-maser reference and filtering of the subsequent error signals is achieved using a PID algorithm in a field programmable gate array (FPGA).^[55] Because the algorithm is implemented digitally, we are able to control and optimize the proportional (P), integral (I), and differential (D) gains and corner frequencies via a computer interface. The design of high bandwidth feedback actuators helps to suppress high-frequency comb noise and support higher performance frequency synthesis.

2.3.2. Offset Frequency Detection and Stabilization

We detect the offset frequency using a nonlinear self-referencing technique that compares the frequency-doubled low-frequency portion of the octave-spanning spectrum generated from the HNLF to the fundamental high-frequency portion of the spectrum. The latter technique is necessary since f_0 is only manifest on the optical carrier phase, which is inaccessible via direct photodetection.

Using the self-referencing technique, the offset frequency is detected as $f_0 = 2v_N - v_{2N} = 2(Nf_{rep} + f_0) - (2Nf_{rep} + f_0)$. The optical spectrum around 1035 and 2070 nm is split off with a dichroic mirror and focused into a periodically poled lithium niobate

www.lpr-journal.org

(PPLN) waveguide where light at 2070 nm is frequency-doubled to 1035 nm. The interference between the fundamental 1035 nm light and doubled 2070 nm light is detected as f_0 . Control and stabilization of the detected f_0 beat signal is achieved via comparison to a H-maser synthesized RF frequency. The resulting error signal is sent through a second FPGA PID loop filter and fed back to the Er/Yb:glass laser 980 nm pump current. Changes in the 980 nm pump power modify the gain of the Er/Yb:glass laser, which permits tuning of f_0 , whereby \approx 10 MHz of tuning is achieved by changing the 980 nm pump power by 50 mW.

2.4. OFC Stability and Noise Characterization

Once the modelocked laser offset frequency and repetition rate are stabilized, the resultant frequency comb represents a versatile tool for high precision optical and microwave frequency metrology. Assessing its stability and accuracy at different timescales is necessary to ensure it can support the most stringent of measurements. Also, because the relevant timescales differ by application, below we evaluate the stabilized Er/Yb:glass laser performance for timescales <1 s by measuring the single-sideband phase noise (SSPN), and for time scales >1 s by assessing the frequency stability of the time record of frequency counted data. The latter metrics can be used to evaluate both the "in-loop" and "out-of-loop" performance. The in-loop noise indicates how well the laser phase-locked loop is able to suppress the noise of the modelocked laser when it is stabilized to a low-noise reference. The out-of-loop measurement assesses the performance of the full system, including the OFC synthesis, uncompensated noise by the feedback loop, and the performance of the frequency reference.

2.4.1. OFC Performance at Short Timescales: Phase Noise

Figure 6a,b shows the RF spectrum (1 kHz bandwidth) of the inloop stabilized f_0 and $f_{b,1157}$ beat signals. The resolution-limited coherent carriers at 102 and 179 MHz indicate that the $f_{b,1157}$ and f_0 are phase locked with an additive noise linewidth less than 1 kHz.

Higher resolution frequency and phase noise measurements can be achieved by demodulating the microwave carriers. Figure 6c shows the single-sideband phase noise spectrum as measured using an RF network analyser. The SSPN represents the power spectral density of the noise sidebands to one side of the RF spectrum. As mentioned previously, the phase noise power spectral density of the in-loop signal measures the additive noise of the Er/Yb:glass laser when it is stabilized to a low-noise frequency reference. As seen in Figure 6b, we observe a servo bandwidth for the f_0 feedback loop near 60 kHz. The bandwidth of the f_0 feedback loop was increased beyond the 10 kHz upper state lifetime limit of the Er/Yb:glass gain medium by adjusting the differential gain and corner frequency of the FPGA-based PID filter. We also observe (Figure 6a) a 160 kHz $f_{\rm rep}$ feedback bandwidth of the piezo-actuated cavity mirror on the $f_{b,1157}$ SSPN. While control and stabilization of $f_{\rm rep}$ via the cavity length results in minimal frequency deviations on f_0 , we observe that stabilization of f_0 , via modulation of the 980 nm pump power pump, writes noise





Figure 6. RF spectra of the a) stabilized optical beat between the comb and the optical reference (left), $f_{b,1157}$ and b) carrier-envelope offset frequency, f_0 . The RF spectra are measured with a resolution bandwidth of 1 kHz. c) Single-sideband phase noise spectra of the in-loop $f_{b,1157}$ beat signal (orange) and in-loop f_0 beat signal (blue). RMS integrated phase noise is shown as dashed curves in blue and orange for the f_0 beat and $f_{b,1157}$ beat, respectively. The latter yielded a RMS integrated phase noise (from 1 Hz to 1 MHz) of the 188 and 80 mrads, respectively.

onto the laser repetition rate as observed by the shared 60 kHz sidebands on the f_0 and $f_{b,1157}$ beat signals and SSPN. Additionally, we observe a large, broad noise feature on the $f_{b,1157}$ SSPN between 100 Hz and 10 kHz, which is a result of unsuppressed cavity length noise due to acoustic and mechanical vibration of the modelocked laser.

Using the SSPN we calculate the additive integrated phase noise, pulse-to-pulse timing jitter and noise effective linewidth. We estimate that the root-mean-squared (RMS) integrated phase noise from 1 Hz to 1 MHz is 80 and 188 mrads for f_0 and $f_{b,1157}$, respectively. This accounts for respective RMS timing jitters of 44 as (on 290 THz) and 115 as (on 259 THz). From the integrated phase noise, we can also determine that the phase-locked linewidths are less than 1 Hz, limited by resolution of the phase noise analyser. The linewidth of $f_{b,1157}$ is ultimately limited by the high-stability 1157 nm reference laser (around 10 mHz) and the linewidth of f_0 is ultimately limited by the H-maser reference (around 1 μ Hz).

2.4.2. OFC Performance at Longer Timescales: Frequency Counting

Optical frequency comparisons of like and unlike species of atomic clock are necessary in the characterization and development of optical atomic clock technology. While like clocks can be compared directly to one another, inter-species clock comparisons require an OFC to bridge the vast differences that separate transition frequencies. Aside from the application to the development of time and frequency references, the relative comparison of clock transition frequencies permits clocks to be high-sensitivity sensors of space-time variation for relativistic geodesy^[56–58] and for searches for physics beyond the standard model.^[21,22]

www.lpr-journal.org

For clock comparisons of unlike species, the additive noise of the optical frequency comb is required to be lower than the clocks being compared. Currently, the best optical clocks have demonstrated fractional frequency accuracies around 10^{-18} and fractional instabilities around 10^{-16} after 1 s of averaging.^[56,59–62] Below, we demonstrate that the Er/Yb:glass comb is able to achieve an additive measurement instability at least one order of magnitude lower than the current best optical atomic clocks comparison.

As mentioned previously, frequency counting can be used to assess the stability of the OFC at timescales greater than 1 s. For the results presented here, signals from the OFC were measured using home-built, zero-dead time, frequency counters employing software-defined radio (SDR), which offers a reconfigurable platform for digital signal processing. Our SDR counter is configured using two channels, which allows for cancellation of noise introduced by the SDR's numerically controlled oscillator, thus permitting zero-dead time frequency counting with 12digits of frequency resolution and additive frequency offsets of 10⁻¹³ on a counted 10 MHz signal. Details regarding our SDR frequency counters can be found in ref. [63]. Zero-dead time counting permits phase correlations to be maintained between frequency measurements, which helps to support accurate frequency statistics for signals limited by white phase noise. The latter is important for characterizing the signals from optical frequency combs, which are expected to exhibit phase coherence in optical-to-optical, and in optical-to-microwave synthesis.

To assess the long-term performance of the OFC, the sampled input signal to an SDR counter was averaged over 1 s to deduce its average frequency. Consecutive measurements produce a time record of frequencies, averaged over 1 s, and spaced by 1 s. Using the latter time record of frequency measurements, we calculate the two-sample overlapping Allan deviation, which yields the fractional frequency stability versus measurement time. For signals dominated by white frequency noise and white phase noise, the fractional frequency stability improves with longer averaging time, τ , with respective slopes of $\tau^{-1/2}$ and τ^{-1} .

As seen in **Figure 7** the Allan deviation of the in-loop f_0 and $f_{b,1157}$ beat signals both follow slopes at, or close to, τ^{-1} for all averaging times, indicating shot or thermal noise as the limiting noise source. While in-loop signals mainly assess the quality of the laser feedback actuators, for OFCs with single-branch architectures^[64] they can provide relatively good estimates for the total residual, or additive noise, that the modelocked laser contributes in optical synthesis. This is possible if all optical fibres after the modelocked laser are within the stabilization loops and their noise is compensated via feedback to the laser.

Er/Yb:Glass Laser OFC: Sources of Additive Instability: In this OFC, uncompensated free-space paths, and optical and electronic components are the main source of differential noise when detecting a common wavelength. Shown in Figure 7 are the additive instabilities from the SDR, the RF synthesizer and microwave electronics (RF amplifiers, mixers, and filters). We can evaluate the noise due to uncommon free-space optical paths in the Er/Yb:glass OFC system by detecting the $f_{b,1157}$ beat on two photodetectors. The in-loop $f_{b,1157}$ beat signal is used to stabilize the modelocked laser cavity length, and the second beat signal $f'_{b,1157}$ measures the 20-cm differential free-space paths, and noise added in photodetection between optical interferometers, seen







Figure 7. Fractional frequency stability of the in-loop $f_{b,1157}$ (orange), $f'_{b,1157}$ (blue) and f_0 (green) beat signals as calculated via Allan deviation. The $f'_{b,1157}$ beat measures the noise contribution from 20 cm of out-of-loop optical free-space paths. Frequency noise from the H-maser referenced synthesizer and associated electronics to the optical beat signal (gray dashed line). Resolution limit contributed by the SDR frequency counter (black dashed line).

in Figure 1c). Figure 7 shows the stability of the frequency detected on the in-loop detector (orange) and on the second detector (blue). From the latter measurement we observe that 20-cm of enclosed and uncommon free-space optical paths contribute a frequency instability near 7×10^{-19} at 1 s. Noise resulting from changes in the index of refraction of air reduces the phase coherence, which alters the slope in the Allan deviation from τ^{-1} to a slope closer to $\tau^{-1/2}$.

3. Stability and Accuracy in Optical Frequency Synthesis

At NIST, optical frequency combs are used to characterize and compare the ¹⁷¹Yb and ⁸⁷Sr neutral lattice clocks^[56,62] and the ²⁷Al⁺ quantum logic clock.^[61] While the clocks operate on transition frequencies of 518 THz, 489 THz, and 1.12 PHz, respectively, OFCs measure the clock local oscillator frequencies of 259 THz (1157 nm), 195 THz (1542 nm), and 280 THz (1070 nm).

While optical synthesis via four wave mixing is expected to maintain the equidistance of the modelocked laser optical modes and preserve their phase coherence, tests to evaluate the noise in optical synthesis are necessary to assess the full performance of the OFC for optical atomic clock comparisons. Nonlinear techniques have been used to evaluate synthesis between OFC modes separated by one octave using a single comb.^[64–67] However, assessing synthesis errors over smaller bandwidths generally requires comparing the relative output of two OFCs. Below we evaluate the additive instability in optical synthesis of the Er/Yb:glass comb between 1070 and 1157 nm via comparison to a second

frequency comb based on a Ti:sapphire modelocked laser,^[68] see **Figure 8**.

The reference cavities used to stabilize the 1157 and 1070 nm clock lasers are shown in Figure 8 and their light was distributed over independent phase-stabilized optical fibre links.^[69] In the measurement, both OFCs were phase-locked to the 1157 nm reference. Although the OFCs have different offset frequencies and repetition rates, stabilization of both comb spectra to the 1157 nm reference should faithfully, and identically, transfer the optical reference phase and frequency information to all OFC synthesized optical modes, including those near 1070 nm. Errors in synthesis can be measured by comparing the detected beat signals between the 1070 nm reference and the nearest mode for each comb. The latter comparison was achieved by filtering and amplifying each 1070 nm beat signal and deriving an RF signal at the difference frequency of the two beats using a double balanced mixer. The difference frequency was counted on an SDR frequency counter and the Allan deviation was calculated (black points in Figure 9). Because the cavity stabilized lasers were not steered to their respective optical atomic clocks, we set the repetition frequency of the Ti:sapphire comb, $f_{\rm rep,TiS}$, to be exactly twice that of the Er/Yb:glass comb, $f_{rep,Er/Yb}$, so that the scaling factor between 1157 and 1070 nm frequency modes for the two combs was identical. This enabled the frequency drift of the cavity stabilized laser references (around mHz s⁻¹) to cancel in the stability measurement and allowed for better precision at long averaging times.

From the figure, the data represented by the black points exhibits a 1-s instability near 3×10^{-17} , averaging down to the 10^{-20} level, limited by the total measurement time. It is important to note that the observed stability represents the combined noise of the four optical fibre links, linking the optical references to the OFCs, as well as the noise in synthesis from two OFCs. As a result, the observed instability represents an upper limit to the residual noise in optical synthesis. More specifically, we found that the latter optical synthesis measurement was partly limited by the frequency stability of the Doppler-stabilized fibre links. The fibre link stabilities were assessed by measuring a beat signal between light from the two 1157 nm links and a separate beat signal between light from the two 1070 nm links. The Allan deviations for both sets of links are plotted in orange and blue, respectively, in Figure 9. These demonstrate that the Doppler-cancelled fibre links contributed instabilities near 10⁻¹⁷ at 1 s averaging times, averaging down to levels near 10^{-20} at longer times.

Also shown for comparison in Figure 9 is the current best stability achieved for an inter-species optical clock comparison,^[70] which yielded a 1-s stability near 2×10^{-16} . As seen from the figure, the current level of the Doppler stabilized fibre links and optical synthesis with the OFC is sufficient to support the current best atomic clock comparisons. Currently, the 1-s instability of most inter-species clock comparisons is limited by the clock local oscillator. The development of cryogenic optical reference cavities^[48,71] and differential spectroscopy of atomic clocks^[70] offer a path toward lower clock and measurement instability, respectively. As a result, optical synthesis with the OFC, as well as the dissemination of clock signals via optical fibre, will also need to improve to achieve better short term instability.



www.lpr-journal.org



Figure 8. Setup to characterize the Er/Yb:glass frequency comb in optical-to-optical and optical-to-microwave synthesis. Light is distributed from optical references at 1070 and 1157 nm over phase-stabilized fibre links to the Er/Yb:glass comb and a Ti:sapphire comb. Both combs are stabilized to the 1157 nm optical reference and light synthesized at 1070 nm from the two OFCs is compared using a common 1070 nm optical reference. Optically derived microwave signals at 10 GHz, generated via detection of the OFC optical pulse trains on MUTC photodiodes, are also compared.



Figure 9. Comparison of fractional frequency instabilities for various optical-to-optical comparisons. Comparison of the noise in optical-to-optical synthesis from 1157 to 1070 nm between the Ti:sapphire and Er/Yb:glass OFCs (black points). Optical beat note between two 50 m long 1157 nm fibre links (orange trace). Optical beat note between two 100 m long 1070 nm fibre links (blue trace). The current best inter-species optical clock comparison^[70] between the ²⁷Al+ ion clock and ¹⁷¹Yb optical lattice clock using differential spectroscopy (red points) fit to a white frequency noise trend line (1.97 × 10⁻¹⁶ $\tau^{-1/2}$), shown with red dashed line. The purple squares represent a high-stability, cryogenic Si optical reference cavity.^[48]

4. Stability and Accuracy in Optical-to-Microwave Synthesis

Above we characterized the additive instability of the Er/Yb:glass laser OFC in optical synthesis and assessed its performance in the context of optical atomic clock comparisons. Here, we evaluate another important capability of OFCs, namely, their ability to coherently link the optical domain to the microwave domain. Briefly, when the OFC is phase locked to a high-stability cavitystabilized optical reference, either free-running or locked to an atomic clock, the frequency stability of the optical reference is transferred to timing stability in the comb optical pulse train. Photodetection of the optical pulse train yields a pulse train in the electronic domain. Because the photodetector is only sensitive to the pulse envelope, the optical pulse carrier information is lost. As a result, the Fourier transform of the electronic pulse train only yields harmonics of the pulse repetition rate.

From the comb equation, to first order, the frequency of the comb repetition rate is proportional to $v_{\rm ref}/N$, where *N* is the mode number of the optical mode closest in frequency to the optical reference, $v_{\rm ref}$. For this reason, the technique of optical-to-microwave conversion is called optical frequency division (OFD).^[13–18] Not only is the optical frequency and noise divided by *N*, but the phase noise power spectral density of the optical reference is also reduced by N^2 . A 259 THz optical signal divided to 10 GHz, ideally, will yield a reduction in the optical phase noise by 88 dB (20 × log [259 THz/10 GHz]) and will preserve the optical fractional frequency stability in its conversion to the microwave domain.

Optical frequency division permits the extraction of microwave timing signals from optical atomic references. The latter signals

can be used for dissemination of optical atomic clock stability using traditional microwave networks, for their comparison and characterization against the ¹³³Cs primary frequency standard, or for use as the local oscillator for advanced radar and communications systems. Below, we assess the additive instability, phase noise, and frequency errors in optical-to-microwave synthesis.

4.1. Optical-to-Microwave Synthesis: 10 GHz Phase Noise

The ability of an optical frequency comb to phase-coherently divide an optical signal to the microwave domain is crucial because it yields a straight-forward way to count optical clock oscillations with standard electronics. Additionally, optical-to-microwave synthesis provides a means to convert the low phase noise of atomic clock probe lasers to the microwave domain. The latter conversion helps realize high-spectral purity microwave signals, which have natural applications to next generation communications, sensing, and ranging.

In this section we assess the spectral purity of 10 GHz microwave signals derived via the 20th harmonic of the Er/Yb:glass laser repetition rate. As shown in Figure 8, the 10 GHz generated by the Ti:sapphire comb is used as a reference signal for comparison.^[15] To isolate the additive noise in opticalto-microwave synthesis, both OFCs were locked to the same 1157 nm optical reference. Additionally, both combs employed pulse interleavers that effectively multiply the comb repetition frequencies to 2 GHz prior to photodetection.^[14] Repetition rate multiplication improves the strength of the 10 GHz microwave harmonic by reducing the number of detected harmonics and helps to mitigate photodetector nonlinearities^[51] that occur at high optical pulse energies. Detection nonlinearities and the microwave carrier strength were further improved by employing high-power, high-linearity modified uni-traveling carrier (MUTC) photodetectors.^[72] The MUTC PD yields ≈12.5 GHz bandwidth, 50 µm active area diameter, and can be operated with a bias voltage as high as -21 V.^[72,73] The photodetected 10 GHz signals from both combs were sent over 1 m of uncompensated microwave cable and compared using a double balanced mixer. The intermediate signal, $\Delta f_{10\rm GHz}$ near 1 MHz, was measured using a Symmetricom 53132A phase noise analyser that uses a 10 MHz H-maser signal as a reference. The results of this comparison are shown in Figure 10a, which characterize the additive noise of all components in Figure 8 except the 1157 nm optical cavity, which is a common source of noise for both frequency combs.

At 1 Hz offset from the 10 GHz carrier, we demonstrate a residual phase noise near -115 dBc Hz⁻¹. Frequency drift in the unstabilized microwave cables limits the phase noise for offset frequencies near and below 1 Hz. Phase noise at offset frequencies between 10 to 200 kHz is the result of AM–PM conversion of the optical noise on the laser pulse trains as a result of the f_0 locks on both combs. Also shown is the additive noise of the 10 MHz H-maser reference to the 10 GHz phase noise measurement. We observe that the H-maser limits the 10 GHz comparison for offset frequencies below 10 Hz and contributes a high-frequency noise floor near -160 dBc Hz⁻¹. A lower measurement noise floor can be achieved by using a two channel phase noise measurement





Figure 10. Noise characterization in optical-to-microwave synthesis from 1157 nm to 10 GHz. a) Single sideband phase noise of the difference frequency, Δf_{10GHz} , between the 10 GHz generated via OFD by the Er/Yb:glass and Ti:sapphire combs. Also shown is the phase noise contributed by the 10 MHz H-maser reference (yellow), the MUTC photodiodes (dashed red), and AM-PM conversion when locking f_0 for both combs. b) Allan deviation of the difference frequency, Δf_{10GHz} , between the 10 GHz generated via OFD by the Er/Yb:glass and Ti:sapphire combs.

system with cross-correlation.^[74] From past studies^[15] that use cross-correlation, we expect a noise floor below -170 dBc Hz⁻¹. Assuming a thermal noise level of -170 dBc Hz⁻¹, we estimate a total integrated phase noise (from 1 Hz to 5 GHz) around 318 µrads, which indicates an RMS timing jitter on 10 GHz of around 7.2 fs.

4.2. Optical-to-Microwave Synthesis: Additive Instability

To assess the additive instability for timescales longer than 1 s, we calculate the Allan deviation of the compared 10 GHz signals, $\Delta f_{10\text{GHz}}$. The results are depicted in Figure 10b). The instability represents an upper bound to the additive optical-to-microwave synthesis with the Er/Yb:glass laser OFC. This is because $\Delta f_{10 \text{ GHz}}$ carries phase information regarding the noise of the optical fibre links to the OFCs, the OFC optical-to-microwave synthesis, noise in photodetection and in the comparison and measurement of the 10 GHz signals. As seen in the Figure, the Allan deviation of $\Delta f_{10\text{GHz}}$ yields a 1-s additive instability < 1 × 10⁻¹⁵, averaging down with a slope near τ^{-1} .

www.lpr-journal.org



4.3. Optical-to-Microwave Synthesis: Additive Synthesis Errors

To quantify the frequency errors added by optical-to-microwave synthesis, we generate and compare 10 GHz signals based on an absolute frequency reference. For the latter measurement, the Er/Yb:glass laser and Ti:sapphire laser OFC were both phase stabilized to the same ¹⁷¹Yb optical atomic clock. Using the atomic reference, both combs generated electronic signals near 10 GHz. The Ti:sapphire OFC generated a second signal near 1 GHz, $f_{\rm rep,TiS}$, that was measured against the international ensemble of primary and secondary standards (PSFS), via the NIST maser ensemble.^[75] The difference between the two 10 GHz signals from the Ti:Sapphire and Er/Yb:glass laser OFCs, Δf_{10GHz} , was simultaneously counted on an SDR-based frequency counter, using a single H-maser in the NIST maser ensemble. As such, the Er/Yb:glass laser repetition rate can be evaluated as, $f_{\rm rep, Er/Yb}$ = $(10 \times f_{\text{rep,TiS}} + \Delta f_{10 \text{ GHz}})/20$. By simultaneously counting the offset frequencies and beat signals between the OFCs and their respective ¹⁷¹Yb local oscillator signals, the comb equation can be used to calculate the ¹⁷¹Yb optical frequency as measured by both OFCs.

Using this procedure we periodically measured the ¹⁷¹Yb optical atomic clock frequency versus PSFS using both frequency combs, **Figure 11a**. In Figure 11a, the error bars are determined by the daily statistical uncertainty, which is dominated by the noise of reference H-maser ($\approx 10^{-13}$ at 1-s averaging time), common to both OFCs. Figure 11b shows the difference between the Er/Yb:glass laser and Ti:Sapphire laser OFC measurements of the ¹⁷¹Yb optical atomic clock frequency, per day. The error bars in Figure 11b represent the statistical error in the measurement of $\Delta f_{10 \text{ GHz}}$, which is dominated by the additive noise of the SDR-based frequency counter. From Figure 11b, we observe an agreement in the mean value of the daily measurement of the ¹⁷¹Yb optical clock, between both OFCs, at $-0.87 \pm 2.05 \times 10^{-18}$, limited by the measurement uncertainty.

5. Conclusion

In summary, the Er/Yb:glass laser represents a versatile and robust system for laboratory-based, high performance metrology applications. The laser represents a competitive alternative to OFCs based on Er:fibre and Ti:sapphire modelocked lasers because it is simple to build and demonstrates self-starting modelocking due to the SESAM. Additionally, the low net laser cavity loss permits narrow intrinsic optical linewidths, despite the use of a 980 nm telecom diode laser for pumping. The latter permits high performance optical and microwave synthesis with moderate engineering of the laser feedback actuators and feedback loops.

To convert the Er/Yb:glass laser to a frequency comb, we interfaced the laser with a home-built PM-Erbium-doped amplifier and a hybrid HNLF to enable generation of an octave-spanning optical spectrum. Once phase stabilized, we demonstrated the utility of the Er/Yb:glass laser OFC, and quantified its performance in terms of precision metrology applications. We have evaluated the additive noise in optical synthesis, which contributed an upper bound to the instability at 1-s near 10^{-17} . A lower bound to optical synthesis, below 10^{-18} , was found to be limited by uncompensated free-space optical paths in the inter-



Figure 11. a) Comparison in the evaluation of the absolute frequency of the ¹⁷¹Yb lattice clock as measured by the Er/Yb:glass laser OFC (yellow triangles) and Ti:sapphire OFC (black circles). Data in a) and b) were derived from unioned data only. Data in a) is offset from the BIPM recommended value for ¹⁷¹Yb of 518 295 836 590 863.63 Hz. The grey error bars were limited by the instability of the H-maser that was used for referencing the counted 1 GHz Ti:sapphire repetition rate signal. b) Shows the fractional frequency difference between the OFCs at the 10⁻¹⁸ level. The blue error bars in b) were limited by the instability of the H-maser that was used for referencing the counted 1 GHz Ti:sapphire repetition rate signal.

ferometers used to reference the comb to high-stability optical frequency references. In 10 GHz optical-to-microwave synthesis, we observed an additive instability below 10^{-15} for 1-s averaging times, with a residual single-sideband phase noise level below -115 dBc Hz⁻¹ at a 1 Hz offset from the carrier. When phase-locked to a 171 Yb optical atomic clock, we estimated that the Er/Yb:glass laser OFC contributed errors in optical-to-microwave frequency synthesis near the 10^{-18} level, limited by the measurement statistics.

Both high-precision optical-to-optical and optical-tomicrowave synthesis are required for the implementation of an all-optical timescale,^[76,77] an important part of the infrastructure for redefinition of the SI second to optical atomic references. Additionally, optically derived timing signals will help realize timing signals that are at least two orders-of-magnitude more stable and accurate than current timescales based on microwave oscillators.

Supporting Information

www.advancedsciencenews.com

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank J.A. Sherman for help with the SDR frequency counter and R.P. Mirin for the SESAM. This project was funded by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Keywords

Er/Yb:glass lasers, mode-locked lasers, optical frequency combs, photonic microwave generation, precision metrology

Received: August 26, 2022 Revised: November 8, 2022 Published online:

- [1] T. H. Maiman, Nature 1960, 187, 493.
- [2] L. E. Hargrove, R. L. Fork, M. A. Pollack, Appl. Phys. Lett. 1964, 5, 4.
- [3] D. Stern, R. W. Schoenlein, C. A. Puliafito, E. T. Dobi, R. Birngruber, J. G. Fujimoto, Archiv. Ophthalmol. 1989, 107, 587.
- [4] U. Andiel, K. Eidmann, K. Witte, R. Mancini, P. Hakel, J. Mod. Opt. 2002, 49, 2615.
- [5] W. Denk, J. H. Strickler, W. W. Webb, Science 1990, 248, 73.
- [6] F. E. Lytle, R. M. Parrish, W. T. Barnes, Appl. Spectrosc. 1985, 39, 444.
 [7] T. Gaumnitz, A. Jain, Y. Pertot, M. Huppert, I. Jordan, F. Ardana-Lamas, H. J. Wörner, Opt. Express 2017, 25, 27506.
- [8] D. Spence, P. Kean, W. Sibbett, *Opt. Lett.* **1991**, *16*, 42.
- [9] M. Hofer, M. H. Ober, F. Haberl, M. E. Fermann, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 1992. 28. 720.
- [10] U. Keller, K. J. Weingarten, F. X. Kartner, D. Kopf, B. Braun, I. D. Jung, R. Fluck, C. Honninger, N. Matuschek, J. A. D. Au, *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.* **1996**, *2*, 435.
- [11] L. Essen, J. V. L. Parry, Nature 1955, 176, 280.
- [12] P.-C. Erwin, G. Buchs, S. Kundermann, L. Balet, S. Lecomte, Optics Express 2015, 23, 32441.
- [13] W. Zhang, M. L. Z. Xu, R. Boudot, Y. Kersalé, G. Santarelli, Y. L. Coq, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2010**, *96*, 211105.
- [14] A. Haboucha, W. Zhang, T. Li, M. Lours, A. N. Luiten, Y. L. Coq, G. Santarelli, Opt. Lett. 2011, 36, 3654.
- [15] T. M. Fortier, F. Quinlan, A. Hati, C. Nelson, J. A. Taylor, Y. Fu, J. Campbell, S. A. Diddams, *Opt. Lett.* **2013**, *38*, 1712.

- [16] X. Xie, R. Bouchand, D. Nicolodi, M. Giunta, W. Hänsel, M. Lezius, A. Joshi, S. Datta, C. Alexandre, M. Lours, P. A. Tremblin, G. Santarelli, R. Holzwarth, Y. L. Coq, *Nat. Photonics* **2017**, *11*, 44.
- [17] M. Kalubovilage, M. Endo, T. R. Schibli, Opt. Express 2020, 28, 25400.
- [18] T. Nakamura, J. Davila-Rodriguez, H. Leopardi, J. A. Sherman, T. M. Fortier, X. Xie, J. C. Campbell, W. F. McGrew, X. Zhang, Y. S. Hassan, D. Nicolodi, K. Beloy, A. D. Ludlow, S. A. Diddams, F. Quinlan, *Science* 2020, *368*, 889.
- [19] J. Ye, J. L. Hall, S. A. Diddams, *Opt. Lett.* **2000**, *25*, 1675.
- [20] T. M. Fortier, M. S. Kirchner, F. Quinlan, J. C. B. J. Taylor, N. L. T. Rosenband, A. Ludlow, Y. Jiang, C. W. Oates, S. A. Diddams, *Nat. Photonics* **2011**, *5*, 425.
- [21] T. Rosenband, D. Hume, P. Schmidt, C. Chou, A. Brusch, L. Lorini, W. Oskay, R. Drullinger, T. Fortier, J. Stalnaker, S. Diddams, W. Swann, N. Newbury, W. Itano, D. Wineland, J. Bergquist, *Science* **2008**, *319*, 1808.
- [22] M. S. Safronova, D. Budker, D. DeMille, D. F. J. Kimball, A. Derevianko, C. W. Clark, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **2018**, *90*, 025008.
- [23] C. Clivati, R. Ambrosini, T. Artz, A. Bertarini, C. Bortolotti, M. Frittelli, F. Levi, A. Mura, G. Maccaferri, M. Nanni, M. Negusini, F. Perini, M. Roma, M. Stagni, M. Zucco, D. Calonico, *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 40992.
- [24] T. Fortier, E. Baumann, *Commun. Phys.* 2019, *2*, 153.
 [25] B. A. C. O. N. B. Collaboration, *Nature* 2021, *591*, 564.
- [25] B. A. C. O. N. B. Conaboration, *Nutrice* 2021, 557, 564.
 [26] F. Tauser, A. Leitenstorfer, W. Zinth, *Opt. Express* 2003, *11*, 594.
- [27] N. V. Nardelli, T. M. Fortier, M. Pomponio, E. Baumann, C. W. Nelson,
- T. R. Schibli, A. Hati, APL Photonics **2022**, 7, 026105.
- [28] U. Keller, W. H. Knox, H. Roskos, Opt. Lett. **1990**, 15, 1377.
- [29] S. Schilt, V. Dolgovskiy, N. Bucalovic, L. Tombez, M. C. Stumpf, G. Di Domenico, C. Schori, S. Pekarek, A. E. H. Oehler, T. Südmeyer, U. Keller, P. Thomann, *Optics Express* 2011, *19*, 24171.
- [30] D. M. B. Lesko, A. J. Lind, N. Hoghooghi, A. Kowligy, H. Timmers, P. Sekhar, B. Rudin, F. Emaury, G. B. Rieker, S. A. Diddams, OSA Continuum 2020, 3, 2070.
- [31] G. J. Spühler, L. Krainer, E. Innerhofer, R. Paschotta, K. J. Weingarten, U. Keller, Opt. Lett. 2005, 30, 263.
- [32] B. Resan, S. Kurmulis, Z. Y. Zhang, A. E. H. Oehler, V. Markovic, M. Mangold, T. Südmeyer, U. Keller, R. A. Hogg, K. J. Weingarten, *Appl. Opt.* 2016, *55*, 3776.
- [33] A. E. H. Oehler, T. Südmeyer, K. J. Weingarten, U. Keller, *Opt. Express* 2008, 16, 21930.
- [34] T. D. Shoji, W. Xie, K. L. Silverman, A. Feldman, T. Harvey, R. P. Mirin, T. R. Schibli, *Optica* 2016, 3, 995.
- [35] V. P. Gapontsev, S. M. Matitsin, A. A. Isineev, V. B. Kravchenko, Opt. Laser Technol. 1982, 14, 189.
- [36] H. Kogelnik, T. Li, Appl. Opt. **1966**, *5*, 1550.
- [37] D. Hanna, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 1969, 5, 483.
- [38] M. H. Dunn, A. I. Ferguson, Opt. Commun. 1977, 20, 214.
- [39] C. Lee, Y. Hayashi, K. Silverman, A. Feldman, T. Harvey, R. Mirin, T. Schibli, Opt. Express 2015, 23, 33038.
- [40] M. Haiml, U. Siegner, F. Morier-Genoud, U. Keller, M. Luysberg, R. C. Lutz, P. Specht, E. R. Weber, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **1999**, *74*, 1269.
- [41] M. Mangold, V. J. Wittwer, C. A. Zaugg, S. M. Link, M. Golling, B. W. Tilma, U. Keller, Opt. Express 2013, 21, 24904.
- [42] I. I. Korel, B. N. Nyushkov, V. I. Denisov, V. S. Pivtsov, N. A. Koliada, A. A. Sysoliatin, S. M. Ignatovich, N. L. Kvashnin, M. N. Skvortsov, S. N. Bagayev, *Laser Phys.* **2014**, *24*, 074012.
- [43] M. Schioppo, R. C. Brown, W. F. McGrew, N. Hinkley, R. J. Fasano, K. Beloy, T. H. Yoon, G. Milani, D. Nicolodi, J. A. Sherman, N. B. Phillips, C. W. Oates, A. D. Ludlow, *Nat. Photonics* **2016**, *11*, 48.
- [44] B. C. Young, F. C. Cruz, W. M. Itano, J. C. Bergquist, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1999, 82, 3799.
- [45] H. R. Telle, G. Steinmeyer, A. E. Dunlop, J. Stenger, D. H. Sutter, U. Keller, *Appl. Phys. B* **1999**, *69*, 327.
- [46] J. Reichert, R. Holzwarth, T. Udem, T. W. Hänsch, Opt. Commun. 1999, 172, 59.

LASER & PHOTO

www.lpr-journal.org

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS

- [47] D. Jones, S. Diddams, J. Ranka, A. Stentz, R. Windeler, J. Hall, S. Cundiff, Science 2000, 288, 635.
- [48] D. G. Matei, T. Legero, S. Häfner, C. Grebing, R. Weyrich, W. Zhang, L. Sonderhouse, J. M. Robinson, J. Ye, F. Riehle, U. Sterr, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2017, 118, 263202.
- [49] P. S. Westbrook, J. W. Nicholson, K. S. Feder, Y. Li, T. Brown, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2004, 85, 4600.
- [50] D. R. Carlson, D. D. Hickstein, A. Lind, J. B. Olson, R. W. Fox, R. C. Brown, A. D. Ludlow, Q. Li, D. Westly, H. Leopardi, T. M. Fortier, K. Srinivasan, S. A. Diddams, S. B. Papp, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **2017**, *8*, 014027.
- [51] J. Taylor, S. Datta, A. Hati, C. Nelson, F. Quinlan, A. Joshi, S. Diddams, IEEE Photonics J. 2011, 3, 140.
- [52] S. Taccheo, P. Laporta, O. Svelto, G. D. Geronimo, *Appl. Phys. B: Lasers Opt.* **1998**, *66*, 19.
- [53] C.-C. Lee, T. R. Schibli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2014, 112, 223903.
- [54] T. C. Briles, D. C. Yost, A. Cingöz, J. Ye, T. R. Schibli, Opt. Express 2010, 18, 9739.
- [55] M. Pomponio, A. Hati, C. Nelson, in *Joint Conf. of the IEEE Int. Fre-quency Control Symp. and Int. Symp. on Applications of Ferroelectrics (IFCS-ISAF)*, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ 2020.
- [56] W. F. McGrew, X. Zhang, R. J. Fasano, S. A. Schäffer, K. Beloy, D. Nicolodi, R. C. Brown, N. Hinkley, G. Milani, M. Schioppo, T. H. Yoon, A. D. Ludlow, *Nature* 2018, 564, 87.
- [57] J. Grotti, S. Koller, S. Vogt, S. Häfner, U. Sterr, C. Lisdat, H. Denker, C. Voigt, L. Timmen, A. Rolland, F. N. Baynes, H. S. Margolis, M. Zampaolo, P. Thoumany, M. Pizzocaro, B. Rauf, F. Bregolin, A. Tampellini, P. Barbieri, M. Zucco, G. A. Costanzo, C. Clivati, F. Levi, D. Calonico, *Nat. Phys.* **2018**, *14*, 437.
- [58] P. Delva, H. Denker, G. Lion, Chronometric Geodesy: Methods and Applications, Springer International Publishing, Berlin 2019.
- [59] N. Huntemann, C. Sanner, B. Lipphardt, C. Tamm, E. Peik, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2016, *116*, 063001.
- [60] Y. Huang, B. Zhang, M. Zeng, Y. Hao, Z. Ma, H. Zhang, H. Guan, Z. Chen, M. Wang, K. Gao, Phys. Rev. Appl. 2022.
- [61] S. M. Brewer, J.-S. Chen, A. M. Hankin, E. R. Clements, C. W. Chou, D. J. Wineland, D. B. Hume, D. R. Leibrandt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2019**, *123*, 033201.

- [62] T. Bothwell, D. Kedar, E. Oelker, J. M. Robinson, S. L. Bromley, W. L. Tew, J. Ye, C. J. Kennedy, *Metrologia* **2019**, *56*, 065004.
- [63] J. A. Sherman, R. Jördens, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 2016, 87, 054711.
- [64] H. Leopardi, J. Davila-Rodriguez, F. Quinlan, J. Olson, J. A. Sherman, S. A. Diddams, T. M. Fortier, *Optica* 2017, 4, 879.
- [65] J. Stalnaker, S. A. Diddams, T. M. Fortier, K. Kim, L. W. Hollberg, J. C. Bergquist, W. M. Itano, M. Delaney, L. Lorini, W. Oskay, T. P. Heavner, S. R. Jefferts, F. Levi, T. E. Parker, J. H. Shirley, *Appl. Phys. B* 2007, *89*, 167.
- [66] M. J. Martin, S. M. Foreman, T. Schibli, J. Ye, Opt. Express 2009, 17, 558.
- [67] C. T. J. Stenger, H. Schnatz, H. R. Telle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2002, 88, 073601.
- [68] T. M. Fortier, A. Bartels, S. A. Diddams, Opt. Lett. 2006, 31, 1011.
- [69] L.-S. Ma, P. Jungner, J. Ye, J. L. Hall, Opt. Lett. 1994, 19, 1777.
- [70] M. E. Kim, W. F. McGrew, N. V. Nardelli, E. R. Clements, Y. S. Hassan, X. Zhang, J. L. Valencia, H. Leopardi, D. B. Hume, T. M. Fortier, A. D. Ludlow, D. R. Leibrandt, *Nature Physics* **2022**, https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41567-022-01794-7
- [71] E. Wiens, Q.-F. Chen, I. Ernsting, H. Luckmann, U. Rosowski, A. Nevsky, S. Schiller, Opt. Lett. 2014, 39, 3242.
- [72] Z. Li, Y. Fu, M. Piels, H. P. Pan, A. Beling, J. E. Bowers, J. C. Campbell, Opt. Express 2011, 19, B385.
- [73] Z. Li, H. Pan, H. Chen, A. Beling, J. C. Campbell, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 2010, 46, 626.
- [74] W. F. Walls, in Proc. of the 1992 IEEE Frequency Control Symp., IEEE, Piscataway, NJ 1992, p. 257.
- [75] Frequency evaluation of utc (nist) by nist-yb1 for the period mjd 59249 to 59269, Published online at https://webtai.bipm.org/ftp/pub/tai/ data/PSFS_reports/nist-yb1_59249-59269.pdf, 2021.
- [76] W. R. Milner, J. M. Robinson, C. J. Kennedy, T. Bothwell, D. Kedar, D. G. Matei, T. Legero, U. Sterr, F. Riehle, H. Leopardi, T. M. Fortier, J. A. Sherman, J. Levine, J. Yao, J. Ye, E. Oelker, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2019**, *123*, 173201.
- [77] J. Yao, J. Sherman, T. Fortier, A. Ludlow, H. Leopardi, T. Parker, W. McGrew, S. Diddams, J. Levine, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **2019**, *12*, 044069.

www.lpr-journal.org