Ultraslow Group Velocity and Enhanced Nonlinear Optical Effects in a Coherently Driven Hot Atomic Gas
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We report the observation of small group velocities of order 90 m/s and large group delays of greater than 0.26 ms, in an optically dense hot rubidium gas (7360 K). Media of this kind yield strong nonlinear interactions between very weak optical fields and very sharp spectral features. The result is in agreement with previous studies on nonlinear spectroscopy of dense coherent media.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Gy, 42.55.–f, 42.65.Wi

A phase coherent ensemble of atoms (“phaseonium”) represents a truly novel state of matter. A dramatic example of quantum coherence effects is provided by the recent report of extremely slow group velocity (17 m/s) for a pulse of light in a Bose condensate of ultracold sodium atoms [1]. Early direct measurements in coherently driven probe pulse of light in a Bose condensate of ultracold sodium atoms showed a low group velocity of order 90 m/s [1].

dispersion and efficient nonlinear generation in dense coherent media is closely related: (i) group delay (\(T_g\)) of light can be observed in a cell of hot (360 K) \(^{87}\)Rb atoms. This is in agreement with and substantiated by our previous measurements of very steep dispersion and efficient nonlinear generation in dense coherent media [4].

Furthermore, we demonstrate here that this relatively easily created medium also displays very strong nonlinear coupling between very weak optical fields [5]. Specifically, with such a thermal ensemble of rubidium atoms, we observe (i) group delay (\(T_g\)) of 0.26 ms for propagation through our 2.5 cm long, optically thick, electromagnetically induced transparent (EIT) medium, and (ii) extremely efficient nonlinear interactions. These two aspects of phaseonium are closely related: \(T_g\) will be shown to be the figure of merit for various linear and nonlinear optical processes using EIT [see, e.g., Eq. (7) and Ref. [5]]. Specific manifestations of these unusual properties of dense coherent media include new regimes of high precision spectroscopy and nonlinear interactions of very weak light fields [6–8] with greatly reduced phase matching requirements [9].

We observed large group delay on the 795 nm resonance line of \(^{87}\)Rb (nuclear spin \(I = 3/2\)). The cell contained isotopically pure \(^{87}\)Rb and 30 Torr of Ne buffer gas. Under this condition, with a 2 mm laser beam diameter, the ground-state coherence relaxation rate \(\gamma_{bc}/(2\pi)\) is reduced below 1 kHz. The measured time delay as a function of the power of the drive input to the cell is shown in Fig. 1. The drive laser was tuned to the \(5^2S_{1/2}(F = 2) \rightarrow 5^2P_{1/2}(F = 2)\) transition; a copropagating probe laser was tuned to the \(5^2S_{1/2}(F = 1) \rightarrow 5^2P_{1/2}(F = 2)\) transition (see Fig. 2a). Both of these lasers were external cavity diode lasers. They were phase locked with a frequency offset, which was fixed by a tunable microwave frequency synthesizer, near the ground-state hyperfine splitting of 6.8 GHz. The probe laser power was 5% of the drive laser power and was amplitude modulated by approximately 50% with a sine wave at a frequency that was varied in the range of 0.1–10 kHz.
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![FIG. 1. Observed group delay (solid circles) and average velocity (open circles) as a function of the drive laser power. The density of \(^{87}\)Rb was \(2 \times 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-3}\) and the laser beam diameter was 2 mm. For this transition, \(\Omega/2\pi = (1 \text{MHz}) \times \sqrt{I}\), where \(I\) is in mW/cm². Here \(\Delta_p = \Delta_q = \delta = 0\). The field broadening of the resonance was linear with laser intensity with slope of 7.4 kHz/mW of drive laser power.](image_url)
The effect of Doppler averaging on absorption is small. The dashed line in Fig. 3 represents the attenuated absorption for each measured delay time. As the lasers propagate down the length of the cell, the drive laser power is attenuated. Since the group velocity decreases with drive laser power [see Eq. (5) and Fig. 3], we see that the instantaneous velocity is lower toward the output end of the cell than near the input. Hence, we report the average velocity in the cell.

The group delay in passing through the cell was measured by observing the time retardation of the amplitude modulation upon passing through the cell. The attenuation and time delay were measured for a range of modulation frequencies, allowing us to model the propagation for a wide range of pulses. The time delay was independent of the modulation frequency up to the linewidth of the EIT resonance. Systematic effects resulting in unwanted phase shifts of the amplitude modulation of the light were investigated by several approaches: cooling the cell so that very little Rb vapor was present, tuning far from resonance, removing the cell, and checking the electronics for spurious phase shifts. A schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 2b.

In the experiment, both the drive beam and the probe beam are transmitted through the cell, and because of nonlinear optical processes additional frequencies are generated by the medium as discussed below. To isolate the amplitude of the transmitted probe, we split off part of the drive before the cell and shift its frequency up by a small amount (50 MHz) as indicated in Fig. 2b. This shifted field bypasses the cell and is combined on the detector along with the transmitted drive and probe and any generated fields. This technique is discussed below. Because the amplitude of the shifted field is constant, this signal is proportional to the transmitted probe without any contribution from the transmitted drive field.

The low group velocity arises from the large dispersion of the coherent medium. For a light field with a slowly changing complex amplitude, we write $E(z,t) = \mathcal{E}(z,t)e^{i(kz - \nu t)}$, and $P(z,t) = \mathcal{P}(z,t)e^{i(kz - \nu t)}$, where $\mathcal{E}(z,t)$ and $\mathcal{P}(z,t)$ are the slowly varying envelopes of the electric field and atomic polarization. The carrier wave has wave number $k$ and frequency $\nu$. The Fourier components of the field and the polarization are related by $\mathcal{P}(z,\nu) = e_0\chi(\nu)\mathcal{E}(z,\nu)$, where $\chi(\nu)$ is the susceptibility of the medium. Substituting these relations into the wave equation and neglecting all derivatives greater than the first, we obtain the equation of motion for the envelope:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{v_g}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\mathcal{E}(z,t) = i\frac{k}{2}\chi(\nu)\mathcal{E}(z,t). \quad (1)$$

Traditionally, the susceptibility is divided into real and imaginary parts: $\chi = \chi' + i\chi''$, and vanishingly small $\chi'$ and $\chi''$ at resonance are the signature of EIT. The group velocity in the medium is given by $v_g = c/[1 + (\nu/2) \times (d\chi'/d\nu)]$, where the derivative is evaluated at the carrier frequency.

For a medium displaying EIT, $\chi(\nu_p)$ is given by [10]

$$\chi(\nu_p) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{i\eta\Gamma_{bc}}{\Gamma_{bc}[\gamma + i(\Delta_p + k_p\nu)] + \Omega^2} f(\nu) d\nu. \quad (2)$$
In this expression $\nu_p$ is the probe laser frequency, $\eta = (3\lambda^3 N)/8\pi^2$, where $\lambda$ is the probe wavelength and $N$ is the atomic density, $\Gamma_{bc} = \gamma_{bc} + i|\delta + (k_p - k_d)\nu|$, where $\gamma_r$ is the radiative decay rate of level $a$ to level $b$, $\gamma_{bc}$ is the coherence decay rate of the two lower levels (governed here by the time of flight through the laser beams), $\gamma$ is the total homogeneous half-width of the drive and probe transitions (including radiative decay and collisions), $\Delta_p = \omega_{ab} - \nu_p$ and $\Delta_d = \omega_{ac} - \nu_d$ are the one-photon detunings of the probe and drive lasers, respectively, $\delta = \Delta_p - \Delta_d$ is the two-photon detuning, $\Omega$ is the Rabi frequency of the drive transition, and $k_p$ and $k_d$ are wave numbers of the probe and driving fields, respectively. One can obtain a simple analytic expression corresponding to Eq. (2) by approximating the thermal distribution $f(v)$ by a Lorentzian, $f(v) = (\Delta \omega_D/\pi)/[(\Delta \omega_D)^2 + (kv)^2]$, where $\Delta \omega_D$ is the Doppler half-width of the thermal distribution and $v$ is the projection of the atomic velocity along the laser beams. The result is

$$\chi(\nu_p) = \eta \gamma_r \frac{i\gamma_{bc} - \delta}{(\gamma + \Delta \omega_D + i\Delta_p)(\gamma_{bc} + i\delta) + \Omega^2},$$

(3)

where we have taken $k = k_p = k_d$.

Equation (3) leads to propagation with absorption coefficient $\alpha = (k/2)\chi''(\nu_p)$ and group velocity $v_g = c/(1 + n_g)$. In the limit of large $\Omega$ we obtain

$$\alpha = \frac{3}{8\pi} N \lambda^2 \frac{\gamma_r \gamma_{bc}}{\gamma_{bc}(\gamma + \Delta \omega_D) + \Omega^2},$$

(4)

$$n_g = \frac{3}{8\pi} N \lambda^2 \frac{\gamma_r \Omega^2 c}{[\gamma_{bc}(\gamma + \Delta \omega_D) + \Omega^2]^2}.$$  

(5)

After propagation through a dense coherent ensemble of length $L$ the intensity of the pulse is attenuated by $\exp(-2\alpha L)$, whereas its envelope is delayed compared to free space propagation by $T_g = n_g L/c$.

To relate the present results to earlier studies of EIT-based spectroscopy and prior group delay measurements, we note that the group delay is essentially the reciprocal of the so-called “dispersive width” associated with the EIT resonance $\Delta \omega_{\text{dis}} = \pi/(2T_g)$. This width was defined in Ref. [4] as the detuning from the line center at which the phase of the probe laser shifts by $\pi/2$. The significance of this quantity is that it determines the ultimate resolution of interferometric measurements using EIT. When the group delay is large, the dispersive width is correspondingly small. This is the basis for high-precision spectroscopy in dense coherent media.

Clearly, an essential difference between hot and cold atom experiments concerns Doppler broadening. Equation (5) shows that for our experimental regime where $\Omega^2 \gg \gamma_{bc}(\gamma + \Delta \omega_D)$ the effect of Doppler averaging is not important. The point is that in many current experiments (EIT, lasing without inversion, high resolution dense medium spectroscopy, and ultraslow group velocities) the results are two-photon Doppler-free for copropagating drive and probe fields. That is, as shown in Eq. (2), when $k_p = k_d$, only the single photon denominator [the square-bracketed expression in Eq. (2)] depends on atomic velocity. This has a negligible effect near two photon resonance, provided that the Rabi frequency of the driving field is sufficiently large. This analysis, our experimental demonstration, and numerical calculations, allow us to conclude that for strong driving fields, the effects of Doppler averaging are not of central importance to the group velocity. The results of numerical calculations are shown in Fig. 3. For our current experiments, in which $\gamma_{bc}/2\pi = 10^3$ Hz and $(\gamma + \Delta \omega_D)/(2\pi) = 4 \times 10^8$ Hz, drive Rabi frequencies $\Omega/(2\pi) \sim 10^6$ Hz are required in order to get a measurable signal through the cell. We note from Fig. 3 that for this range of intensity, the Lorentzian approximation holds, and also that $\delta \chi''/\delta n$ is nearly the same for hot and cold gases. Furthermore, curve (d) in Fig. 3 shows that for our current experimental conditions, a reduction of $\gamma_{bc}$ allows the possibility of reaching much lower group velocities, near 10 m/s. Such a reduction in $\gamma_{bc}$ is quite possible by increasing our laser beam diameter as shown in Ref. [11].

On the other hand, the cold atom technology does hold promise for a truly Doppler-free payoff; e.g., nonlinear optical processes involving “sideways” coupling [1,5] in which the drive and probe lasers are perpendicular. This is not possible in a hot gas. Likewise, EIT experiments in cold gases might be a very interesting tool for studying the properties of and even manipulating the Bose condensate.

We next turn to nonlinear interactions such as wave mixing in phase coherent media. In the present system, nonlinear wave mixing can be induced by the off-resonant coupling of states $a$ and $b$ in Fig 2a by the driving field. Evidence of this phenomenon has been observed previously [4]. Coupling of the drive field to the $a \leftrightarrow b$ transition leads to generation of a new (Stokes) field at frequency $2\nu_{ab} - \nu_p$, which is equal to $\nu_d - \omega_{cb}$ on two-photon resonance (see inset in Fig. 4). The importance of Raman nonlinearities in such resonant wave mixing phenomena has been discussed previously [12].

For two-photon resonance ($\delta = 0$), the photodetector current is proportional to $|E_n e^{-i\omega_{ab} t} + E_d + E_p e^{i\omega_{ab} t} + E_p e^{i\omega_{ab} t}|^2$, where $E_n$ is the new field amplitude, $E_d$ is the transmitted drive field amplitude, $E_p$ is the shifted field amplitude, $E_p$ is the transmitted probe field amplitude, and $\omega_{ab}/2\pi = 50$ MHz. This expression contains terms oscillating at several frequencies, and we detect individual frequency components near $\omega_{cb}$.

The term with frequency $\omega_{cb} - \omega_s$ has amplitude proportional to $|E_n E_d|$ and corresponds to peak (1) in Fig. 4. Similarly, peak (3) corresponds to the term with frequency $\omega_{cb} + \omega_s$, which is proportional to $|E_n E_d|$. The term oscillating at frequency $\omega_{cb}$ is proportional to $|E_n E_d + E_p E_p|$ and corresponds to peak (2). It is striking to note that, under conditions of a
large group delay, the output power in the probe and new fields (peaks 1 and 3) is nearly the same.

We emphasize the connection between ultrashort light propagation and large nonlinearities. As in Fig. 4, consider the case of colinear propagation of the slowly varying anti-Stokes (i.e., probe) and Stokes (i.e., new) fields, coupled by coherent Raman scattering. We assume that the cw driving field is near resonance with the \(c \leftrightarrow a\) transition. In the vicinity of two-photon resonance the probe field \(E_p\) and the new Stokes field \(E_n^*\) evaluated at the exit from the cell of length \(L\) are given by [13]

\[
E_p = E_p(0) \cosh(\xi T_g), \quad (6)
\]

\[
E_n^* = i E_p(0) \sinh(\xi T_g), \quad (7)
\]

where \(\xi = \Omega^2/\omega_{ab}\) and for simplicity we have ignored loss and chosen the detuning \(\delta\) such that phase-matching is satisfied [i.e., \(\delta = (k_p + k_n - 2k_d)c/n_g\)]. This indicates that a medium with a sufficiently long-lived ground-state coherence \(\gamma_{bc}\), and sufficiently large density-length product (to ensure large group delay, and consequently large nonlinear gain) are required to achieve efficient nonlinear generation. We emphasize that these requirements are typical for any efficient nonlinear interactions involving phase coherent media. Dramatic examples are mirrorless parametric oscillation initiated by vacuum fluctuations [8] and large Kerr nonlinearities [14], single photon switching [6], and quantum control and correlations of weak laser beams [7].

In conclusion we have demonstrated ultralarge group delay \(T_g = 0.26\) ms for light traversing a cell containing an ensemble of hot phase coherent atoms for which the transit time through an empty cell is a fraction of a nanosecond. Such a phaseonium gas has ultralarge nonlinear optical properties yielding nonlinear coupling between very weak fields. It is safe to predict that such phase coherent materials will be of both fundamental (e.g., probing the Bose condensate) and applied (e.g., compression of information by many orders of magnitude) interest.
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